104th Member Joins the Court: Justice Anthony M. Kennedy

At a special session of the Supreme Court, held at 1:30 PM on Thursday, February 18, 1988, Anthony McCleod Kennedy took the judicial oath which would start him on a career of service as a member of the Supreme Court. Chief Justice Rehnquist presided over the investiture ceremony, with Justices Brennan, White, Blackmun, Stevens, O'Connor and Scalia in attendance. Justice Marshall was attending a conference and was unable to return for the investiture.

For the ceremony, Judge Kennedy was seated in the well of the courtroom on the chair used by Chief Justice Marshall during his tenure on the Court. Attorney General Edwin Meese moved to have Judge Kennedy's commission as an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court read by the clerk of the court and entered as a part of the permanent records of the Court. Chief Justice Rehnquist granted the motion and the commission was (Continued on page 5)
Society Establishes Endowment Fund

On January 20, 1988 the Society moved into a new era of development when the Executive Committee authorized creation of the Society's first endowment. The vote formalizing creation of the first permanent reserve fund in the Society's history was prompted by a series of recent donations intended by the donors to create an endowment for the Society, a goal that Society President, Justin Stanley, has focused upon since his election last Summer.

Long-time supporter and trustee William T. Gossett generously provided the first donation to the endowment with a year-end stock transfer valued at approximately $44,000. Mr. Gossett had conferred throughout last Fall with President Stanley about the possibility of creating such an endowment. In conveying his latest of many sizable donations, Mr. Gossett expressed his hope that the Society would use the proceeds from selling the stock he donated to establish a permanent Elizabeth Hughes Gossett Memorial Fund in honor of his first wife. Mr. Gossett suggested that interest received from the invested principle in the Elizabeth Hughes Gossett Memorial Fund could be expanded to further the Society's educational purposes.

Elizabeth Hughes Gossett, the youngest daughter of Chief Justice Charles Evans Hughes, was the Society's founding President and succeeded Robert T. Stevens as Chairman of the Board of Trustees in 1980.

Prior to her death in 1981, she was one of the Society's most ardent supporters and promoters, leading membership recruitment efforts and fund-raising and working to increase public awareness of the Society's mission. During her lifetime, both she and Mr. Gossett made a number of significant financial donations to the Society and contributed historical artifacts related to her father's 1930-1941 tenure as Chief Justice of the United States. Upon her death, Mrs. Gossett bequeathed $50,000 to the Society which was used to help fund the purchase of the Society's permanent headquarters building. Renovations on the nineteenth-century townhouse were completed in 1983 and the building was dedicated in Mrs. Gossett's memory in a formal ceremony conducted by the Society's Honorary Chairman, retired Chief Justice Warren Burger.

After Elizabeth's death Mr. Gossett continued his strong support of the Society, joining its Board of Trustees, heading up a major membership campaign in his home state of Michigan, and making generous financial contributions. Including his latest donation to the Elizabeth Hughes Gossett Memorial Fund, the various contributions to the Society by himself, his late wife, and the Charles Evans Hughes Memorial Foundation, the Gossett family's contributions substantially exceed $200,000.

Upon accepting Mr. Gossett's most recent gift, the Society's Executive Committee passed the following resolution:

RESOLVED THAT, the Supreme Court Historical Society express to William T. Gossett its gratitude for his most generous gift of 2,000 shares of Masco Corporation stock made to the Society as an endowment in memory of Elizabeth Hughes Gossett, the first President of the Society, and that in doing so the Society give recognition not only to the invaluable leadership supplied by Elizabeth Hughes Gossett in the early days of the Society, but to William T. Gossett's continued support and dedication to the Society.

This resolution was followed by a formal letter of appreciation from the Society's Honorary Chairman, Chief Justice Burger.

The Executive Committee also adopted two additional resolutions recognizing contributions extended by the endowment by the Chicago-based law firm of Mayer, Brown & Platt and by Chief Justice Burger. President Stanley, in a letter to Mr. Mayer, Brown & Platt, indicated to the Executive Committee that his firm's $25,000 contribution was the result of five installments of a $5,000 commitment by the firm to the newly created endowment. In a personal letter thanking members of the Committee for their generosity, Chief Justice Burger noted that he hoped the firm's contribution would set an example that would be emulated by other law firms throughout the country.

The Executive Committee thanked Mr. Stanley for his role in securing this commitment and also expressed the Society's gratitude for Mr. Stanley's recent personal contributions amounting to $2,500 which his firm has matched with an additional $2,500 donation. In a letter edited of Mayer, Brown & Platt's support, the Executive Committee passed the following resolution:

RESOLVED THAT, the Supreme Court Historical Society express to Mayer, Brown & Platt its gratitude for the pledge by that firm of $25,000 for the endowment of the Society, and that in doing so it should be noted that this marks the first gift by a law firm to the endowment of the Society, a gift which should wide a splendid example to others to follow in the attempt of the Society to add to its financial strength through a permanent endowment.

The Executive Committee also voted to express its appreciation to retired Chief Justice Burger for establishing a special endowment of $1,000, the income from which will be used to fund a book award to be given annually by the Society at the Georgetown University Law School. The Executive Committee adopted the following resolution:

RESOLVED THAT, the Supreme Court Historical Society express to Chief Justice Warren E. Burger its gratitude for funding an endowment of $5,000 to create a book award to be given annually by the Society at Georgetown University Law School, and that in so doing it should be noted that this is but one more in a long series of generous and thoughtful acts which Chief Justice Burger has performed in his continuing efforts to strengthen and improve the Society.

President Stanley, commenting upon the newly created endowment, has expressed the hope that it will lend the Society's financial stability it did not previously possess. Mr. Stanley also noted that, "It is the consensus of the Executive Committee that the existence of an endowment program devoted to furthering specific purposes will attract donations from new outside funding sources as well as stimulate additional contributions from existing donors." Within days of the Executive Committee's formal approval of the endowment's creation, the Society received proceeds from selling stock donated by Trustee Bernard Segal with a market value of $35,000. In addition, the Society's Philadelphia-based law firm of Schnader, Harrison, Segal & Lewis, has directed that this contribution be added to the new endowment fund.

As a result of these donations, the Society now has funds on deposit and pledges to its endowment exceeding $90,000. And, though the endowment is barely two months old, the Executive Committee has already called upon Vice President J. Roderick Heller, III, who chairs this year's Program Committee, to begin house-warming celebrations in 1989. The Committee will be working with the library director to identify specific projects that could be supported by the annual income that the endowment is anticipated to generate.

Society Receives Grant From Ford Motor Company Fund

Member Committee Chairman John Shephard announced in January 1988 that the Society had received a $80,000 grant from the Ford Motor Company Fund to distribute the Society's Yearbook to selected college, university and law libraries across the country. The grant came in response to a funding proposal submitted by Mr. Shephard last October to Ford Vice President and General Counsel R. H. Nolte, Jr. Mr. Shephard asserted the need to expand the number of libraries in the nation that included the Yearbook as a resource available to students researching the history of the U.S. Supreme Court. He noted, was prepared to raise $300,000 to distribute several thousand issues of the Yearbook, but lacked the funds to cover mailing expenses.

Lee J. Brennan, Jr., Ford Motor Company Fund Executive Director, forwarded the funds $80,000 contribution for this worthwhile project in mid-January. At their January 20th meeting, the Society's Executive Committee voted to extend sincere thanks to Messrs. Brennan and Nolte, and the Ford Motor Company Fund for its generosity.

Special Committees Activated Under New By-laws

As part of an effort to expand the manpower and expertise available to carry out the Society's educational and historical missions, the trustees voted last October to approve a supporting structure of eight special committees. The vote, which was made during a special meeting of the trustees called to approve a new set of By-laws, was the first step toward establishing a new(Continued on page 4)
Special Committees (continued from page 3)

subordinate committee structure to augment Executive Committee functions. Though many of these committees technically existed under the previous By-laws, only the Annual Meeting Committee, the Nominating Committee and the Membership Committee were actually carrying out their designated functions.

Throughout the preceding fall President Justin Stanley had been working with members of the Executive Committee and the newly appointed chairmen and chairwomen of the eight committees to appoint qualified individuals to each of the respective committees so that they could get started with their duties. This task is now largely complete and some of the committees have already met. The committees' functions and the members who comprise the committees are as follows:

Acquisitions Committee
FUNCTION: Develops the Society's collection of antiques and artifacts relating to the Court's history and establishes policies governing the location and preservation of that collection. 
Patricia Collins Dwinnell, Chairwoman
Gail Galloway
John Knox
Mrs. Milton Turner
Annual Meeting Committee
FUNCTION: Plans the Annual Meeting: sets date, schedule of events, location, price and menu for Annual Dinner, etc.
Chief Judge Howard T. Markey, Chairman
Budget and Finance Committee
FUNCTION: Prepares financial reports for annual review by the Trustees, reviews Society's financial policies and makes recommendations for investments, stock sales and other financial matters as required.
Peter A. Knowles, Chairman
Vincent C. Burke, Jr.
J. Roderick Heller, III
David Lloyd Kreger
Leon Silverman
Membership Committee
FUNCTION: Recruitment of membership and supporting and organizing activities for the benefit of the Society's members.
John C. Shepherd, Chairman
Frank C. Jones
M. Truman Woodward, Jr.
John R. Reese
John W. Varodman
Nominating Committee
FUNCTION: Prepares lists of persons to be nominated for election as Officers and Trustees of the Society at the Society's Annual Meeting.
Virginia Warren Daly, Chairwoman
(Other members to be announced)

The Society's publications program will be focal point of new committee.

Program Committee
FUNCTION: Plans and coordinates programs designed to advance the Society's purposes and maintain the Society's prominence, either alone or in cooperation with others.
J. Roderick Heller, III, Chairman
Frank G. Burke
John C. Kester
Dr. Mavea Marcus
Publications Committee
FUNCTION: Establishes and implements policies governing all publications issued in the name of the Society.
Kenneth S. Geller, Chairman
Alice L. O'Donnell
E. Barrett Prettyman, Jr.
Special Gifts Committee
FUNCTION: Seeks out and secures general and special purpose contributions to the Society.
Vincent C. Burke, Jr., Chairman
Judges Griffin B. Bell
Ambassador M. Linowitz
Dwight D. Opperman

The Trustees' intention in reactivating this committee structure is to dramatically expand the scope of the Society's activities and to increase the quality of its programs by introducing more expertise in each of the respective committees' special areas of responsibility. Members who feel they would like to make a contribution to the work of a particular committee are encouraged to contact the appropriate committee chairman or chairwoman listed above. Letters may be addressed to the Society's headquarters at 111 Second Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20002.

Kennedy (continued from page 1)
read by the clerk. Judge Kennedy was then escorted to the center chair, where Chief Justice Rehnquist administered the judicial oath. The Chief Justice then invited Justice Kennedy to take the seat at the far right of the bench. Then, Justice Kennedy became the 104th individual to join the Court.
At 4 P.M. Chief Justice Rehnquist administered the constitutional oath to Justice Kennedy in a ceremony at the White House for which President Reagan was present. Justice Kennedy's family attended both occasions and Mrs. Kennedy held the Kennedy family Bible while he took the constitutional oath. During the ceremony at the Court, the Kennedy family was seated in the area usually reserved for members of the Supreme Court bar.
A native Californian from Sacramento, Justice Kennedy became the fourth person appointed from the state of California to serve on the Supreme Court. Two of his fellow Californians were Associate Justices: Stephen J. Field, appointed by President Lincoln in 1853, who served for 34 years; and Joseph McKenna who was appointed by President McKinley in 1888 and served for 26 years. The third Californian was Earl Warren, appointed by President Eisenhower, and who had served as governor of the state of California prior to his service as Chief Justice of the United States for fifteen years.
Prior to his appointment to the Supreme Court bench, Justice Kennedy's career included private legal practice and service on the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. During his service on the court of appeals he participated in some 1,400 decisions and personally wrote more than 400 opinions. Court observers have noted that his opinions generally reflect a case-by-case approach to interpreting the law.
Justice Kennedy married Mary Davis on June 29, 1963. They are the parents of three children: Justin Anthony, Gregory Davis and Kristin Maria. Despite his commitment to his family and his professional career, Justice Kennedy also found time to teach constitutional law at night for the McGeorge School of Law, University of the Pacific. His dedication to law is evidenced by the fact that he was a Professor of constitutional law for twenty-two years.
The new Justice received his undergraduate degree from Stanford University where his family has strong ties. His mother, Gladys McCleod, was also a graduate of Stanford, and all three of his children have been enrolled there. Stanford is well represented on the Supreme Court bench since both Chief Justice Rehnquist and Justice Sandra Day O'Connor are also alumni of Stanford. Justice Kennedy received his L.L.B. from Harvard Law School as did Justices Blackmun, Brennan and Scalia.
Justice Kennedy's nomination on November 30, 1987 was confirmed by the full Senate on February 3, 1988 by a vote of 97-0. The confirmation marked the end of a seven month vacancy created by the resignation of Justice Lewis F. Powell, Jr. on June 26, 1987.
In Celebration of the Constitution

As part of its celebration of the Bicentennial of the Constitution, the Supreme Court of the United States is presenting a special exhibit entitled "Documenting the Constitution: A Manuscript History." The exhibit contains original and facsimile documents that provide additional insight on the "convention, ratification and interpretation of the Constitution.

Dedicated to the individuals who wrote, interpreted and preserved the written documents that have shaped our country, the exhibit contains an original printing of the Constitution prepared by John Rutledge, a delegate to the Constitutional Convention. The original is part of the Constitutional Convention, an original printing of the Articles of Confederation and original notes of a speech on the Emancipation Proclamation in Abraham Lincolns handwriting. Although many students of American history are familiar with the content of these documents, few members of the public ever see the items in their original state.

One of the "treasures" of the display is an original printing of the Articles of Confederation as it was distributed during the ratification period. In late 1777, many printers produced copies of the proposed "Articles" for local review. The document displayed was printed by an Annapolis printer, Frederick Green, and contains not only the text for the Articles, but also a supplement of great interest to his patrons entitled Acts for Assessment of Property for the state of Maryland. The copy page reminds the viewer that the full title is the Articles of Confederation and perpetual union between the States and that both Massachusetts and Rhode Island have shortened their names. The original names, referring to the colonial origins, are: Massachusetts Bay, and Rhode Island and Providence Plantations.

Another interesting document in the display is a handwritten letter from Elbridge Gerry, a delegate to the Constitutional Convention, to his wife, Ann. Gerry is a controversial figure in American history. He came to the Convention with great experience, including service as a member of the Massachusetts General Court from 1772-1775, and as a member of the Continental Congress from 1776-1781 and 1782-1783. He was a signor of the Declaration of Independence. At the Constitutional Convention, Gerry found himself in disagreement with many of his peers. He expressed his misgivings to his wife in a letter dated, August 26, 1787: "I am exceedingly distressed at the proceedings of the Convention being angry andgrieved...and if it till continues, I shall really lay the foundation of a Civil War." A subsequent paragraph Gerry's frustration is apparent when he says: "...had I known what would have happened, nothing would have induced me to attend the convention."

During the Constitutional Convention the delegates sought to create a government that would correct the inadequacies that had become all too evident under the Articles of Confederation. Grappling with difficult issues such as taxation, the basis for representation in Congress and the status of slavery, solution and compromise seemed elusive. If not altogether improbable. The convention very nearly dissolved in several occasions and some delegates left in protest. The final product was the result of many compromises on all sides and is considered to be a shining example. It with, while some had serious reservations as to its viability.

Gerry ultimately refused to sign the Constitution as adopted by the Convention. Madison's notes on the Convention show that on September 17, 1787, Gerry enumerated eight specific complaints. Three included a complaint that Massachusetts did not have a fair share of representatives, an objection to the Vice President serving as the head of the Senate, and a second complaint against the power of Congress to control their own compensation. After outlining all eight items, Gerry said that he could have overcome these objections "if the rights of the citizens were not rendered not smaller and less than in the course of the acts of Congress which they may be required to call 'necessary and proper'; secondly, to raise armies and money without limit; thirdly, to establish a tribunal without juries. ... He ended his speech by suggesting that a second General Convention be held to draft a new Constitution. Although opposed to ratification, Gerry subsequently relented in his opposition and he was elected to the First and Second Congresses, serving from 1789-1793. In his effort to heal the rift between France and the United States on the terms of the Jay Treaty, President Adams sent Gerry, John Marshall and Charles Cotesworth Pinckney on a diplomatic mission to France that became known as the "X, Y, Z affair."

In 1812 Elbridge Gerry lost his bid for reelection as Governor of Massachusetts, but later the same year, he was elected Vice President of the United States.

This mission ended unsuccessfully for both the United States and its ambassadors, and Gerry, in particular, was the object of heavy criticism. Later in his life, however, he overcame this stigma and was elected Governor of Massachusetts.

Perhaps his crowning political achievement, however, came in 1812, when Gerry was elected Vice President of the United States under James Madison. Thus Gerry, who had refused to sign the Constitution, found himself serving as Vice President with "The Father of the Constitution." For the year and one half he served as Vice President (he died in November of 1819), Gerry was only one step removed from the presidency itself, and as Vice President he presided over the Senate, a role to which he had objected on September 17, 1787.

Another fascinating item in the display relates to the Judiciary Act of 1789. This document is a draft of the charge to the Grand Jury of the Circuit Court for the District of New York written by Chief Justice John Jay on April 20, 1789. In the tradition of American judges of that era (and English judges before him), Jay used this opportunity to make a statement to the public at large as he outlined the composition of the new federal government and its duties. The document on display is a handwritten draft containing abbreviations and strike-throughs. The text as it appears below is the final version, differing very little from the text in the original draft except for changes in capitalization and punctuation.

...the constitution of the United States has accordingly instituted these three Departments, and much Pains have been taken so to form and define them, as [sic] that they may operate as checks one on the other, and keep each within its proper Limits—if being universally agreed to be of the last Importance to a free People, that they who are vested with executive legislative and judicial Power, should rest satisfied with their respective Portions of Power, and neither encroach on the Provinces of each other, nor suffer themselves nor the other, to intermeddle with the Rights reserved by the constitution of the People. ...

Another item in the display with specific reference to the Supreme Court is an original copy of the "enrollment order" of August 6, 1789 from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania outlining the circuit assignments for the term.

"The Judges of the Supreme Court of the United States do assign the next Eastern circuit court to Judge Iredell the middle circuit to the Chief Justice and Judge Cushing, and the Southern circuit to Judge Blair and Judge Johnson."

The original printing of the draft Constitution in the exhibit was Pierce Butler's working copy of that document. It was circulated by the Committee of Detail to all the members of the Committee on August 6, 1787. In the early months of the summer of 1787, the delegates to the Convention debated their respective views of the correct form of government, and specific issues and provisions for inclusion in a constitution. But comprehensive, and almost sure they will not altered materially Convention at large assigned the task to the Committee of Detail.

The Convention adjourned on July 24 to allow the Committee of Detail time to prepare the draft instrument. The Committee consisted of John Rutledge (who subsequently served as an Associate Justice and briefly as Chief Justice) as Chairman. Edmund Randolph (who later served as an Associate Justice), Oliver Ellsworth (who later served as Chief Justice) and Nathaniel Gorham. The records indicate that Randolph prepared the first text of the document, which Wilson and Rutledge revised later.

Only three of the five members of the Committee of Detail would sign the finished product; neither Randolph nor Ellsworth signed on September 17, 1787. Randolph, along with George Mason, refused to sign the completed Constitution because it did not contain a bill of rights, while the press of judicial business forced Ellsworth, a judge on the Superior Court of Connecticut, to leave the Convention in late August, before the document was completed.

Butler's copy bears many handwritten changes, showing that the document was substantially altered before it reached the final form that was signed by delegates on September 17, 1787. One of the revisions appeared in Article I, Section 2, the second paragraph. In the draft that the Committee submitted to the delegates this material was designated: "Every Member of the House of Representatives shall be of the age of twenty-five years at least; shall have been a Citizen of the United States for at least three years, before his election, and shall be, at the time of his election, a Resident of the State in which he shall be chosen." Butler's annotations show that the Convention changed the citizenship requirement to seven years from three, and changed the phrase "a resident of the State" to "an inhabitant of the State."

(Continued on next page)
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The final language of the paragraph reflects the work of the Committee of Style, which had responsibility for the language of the document. On September 8, this committee of five was appointed to "revise the draft of and arrange the articles agreed to." The five selected were William Samuel Johnson as chairman, Governor Morris, James Madison, Rufus King and Alexander Hamilton. All of the Committee members were experienced authors, and Governor Morris was selected as secretary of the group.

Morris, judged by many as the most brilliant man in the convention, was described by a contemporary as "an eternal speaker and for brass unequalled." He was an aristocrat, well educated, possessed of a sparkling wit and a notable style of conversation and writing. He was also the most frequent speaker at the Convention, making 173 speeches to Madison's 161. Many think Morris was largely responsible for the final language of this Constitution.

Examination of the draft constitution also shows that significant changes were made in the powers delegated to the judicial branch. The first sentence of "Section XI of Article V" of the draft document read:

"The Judicial Power of the United States shall be vested in one Supreme Court, and in such Inferior Courts as Congress may from time to time create and establish..." The phrase "in Law and Equity" appears in the first sentence of Section 2. Further study of Butler's annotated draft shows that debate on the floor suggested wider powers for the Supreme Court than those originally specified by the Committee of Detail; wider powers that were eventually granted by the completed document.

As the introductory panel to the exhibit states, the value of the items collected for the display "cannot be placed in monetary terms for they represent the paper record, the memory of the nation. Throughout the country archivists, librarians, historians and private collectors devote themselves to preserving this fragile heritage... The people who collect these documents and letters today are as vitally interested in preserving our Union and our history as those who preceded them two hundred years ago."

The exhibit also conveys to the viewer a sense of the many diverse elements and personalities that came together in the formation of the Constitution. By including documents relating to subsequent amendments, it also reminds us that the Constitution is changing and that it is indeed a "living document."

The exhibit is the culmination of more than a year's work by Supreme Court Curator Guir Galloway and her staff and was produced with the cooperation and assistance of the Manuscript Society, an international organization of collectors, curators, dealers and administrators of original documents. Assisting in the preparation of the exhibit were two Library of Congress manuscript experts, Dr. David Wigler, assistant chief of the manuscript division and Dr. Gerard Gawalt, an historical specialist. The staff of the Documentary History Project also contributed by writing text for many of the exhibit panels.

Constitution Computer Quiz

Challenges Visitors

Adjacent to the manuscript exhibit are four "touchscreen" computers which test the viewer's knowledge of the Constitution. Hewlett Packard loaned the computers which present multiple choice questions relating to the Constitution and its development. The goal of the computer quiz is to test the user's knowledge of not only the Constitution itself, but historical events and personalities that helped shape it. One of the computers is programmed for elementary school students, with appropriate questions for that age group. The other three computers contain questions appropriate for adults.

The material for the adult computer quiz was researched and written by Friscilla Goodwin, Tour Director for the Office of the Curator of the Court, Brad Wilson, a former Research Associate, and judicial interns under the guidance of Justice O'Connor who is coordinating the bicentennial celebration in the Supreme Court. The material for the children's program came from the "Mini Page," by Betty Delman, a special feature published in many newspapers across the country containing articles, word games and puzzles aimed at teaching young students in an entertaining, but informative way.

The computers have proven to be popular with visitors who can avail themselves of this hands-on opportunity to learn about the Constitution within the Supreme Court building itself. The following questions are representative of the material in the computer quiz:

1. What courts were established by the Constitution?
   a) The Supreme Court of the United States.
   b) All federal courts of appeal, including the Supreme Court.
   c) The Supreme Court of each of the States and of the United States.
   d) None, although the Constitution does give to Congress the power to create federal courts as it deems necessary.

2. The most recent amendment to the Constitution:
   a) provides that equality of rights under the law shall not be denied on the account of sex.
   b) requires Congress to balance the budget for the federal government.
   c) gives 18-year-old citizens the right to vote.
   d) allows the Vice President to assume the role of Acting President when the President is unable to discharge the powers and duties of this office.
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Connecticut
George Grossmanides, Storrs
David P. McMahon, Stamford
Mario V. Titus, Farmington

District of Columbia
Edward R. Bajer
Pierre Philippe Barkats
James R. Bird
Theodore S. Chaconas
Roger A. Clark
Laurie A. Froeh
David M. Gische
Ronald A. Goodbread
Linda S. Greene
Fred Israel
Kathryn A. Oberly
Basil T. Owen
Theresa M. Patterson
Norman A. Pederson
Joseph J. Reimer
John Glover Roberts Jr.
Wayne H. Rusch
Lawrence B. Simons
Mrs. Potter Stewart
Raeie H. Taylor Jr.
Barbary W. Zall

Florida
Robert J. Carr, Sarasota
John F. Hanlon Jr., Fort Lauderdale
Brian Scott Henry, Lauderdale
Michael L. Rosen, Tallahassee
Harley Pertin Whiting, Orlando
Glen David Wieland, Orlando

Georgia
Paul H. Anderson, Atlanta
Steve Berry, St. Mary's
R. Cose Brann Jr., Atlanta
B. Carl Buice, Milledgeville
George E. Butler II, Atlanta
Harmon W. Caldwell Jr., Atlanta
Forrest L. Champion Jr., Columbus
James B. Gilbert, Brunswick
E. Kennedy Hall, Macon
Marshall Helm, Lithia Springs
Kenneth M. Hensley, Columbus
Arthur Howell, Atlanta
D. Lorton Massie Jr., Atlanta
Alex McMann, Atlanta
James D. ([Dart]) Meadows, Atlanta
John B. Miller, Savannah
Feliz C. Moring, Atlanta
Barry Phillips, Atlanta
Bob Reinhart, Atlanta
W. G. Scranton Jr., Columbus
Michael H. Trotter, Atlanta
William F. Underwood Jr., Albany

Hawaii
Stuart A. Kaneko, Honolulu

Illinois
Chris Averkiev, Chicago
Patrick Edward Cafferty, Chicago
Lewis Collens, Chicago
Stephen G. Gase, Chicago
Eugene E. Gudade, Chicago
University of Illinois, Champaign
Michael A. Johnson, Chicago
Miriam E. Knecht, Chicago
Timothy A. Nelson, Chicago
Captain Gail A. Randall,
Philip C. Swain, Chicago
Gary A. Widder, Oasis Park

Indiana
John Henry Hall, Gary
William Peter Hapanski, Merrillville

Kansas
Fred N. Six, Topeka

Kentucky
Paul J. Weber, Louisville

Massachusetts
Ernest H. Hayes, Worcester
Howard D. Merriam, Boston
Elizabeth A. Rito, Boston
Wade M. Welch, Boston

Maryland
Steven A. Bellmat, Catonsville
Bryan D. Bolton, Baltimore
Vincent M. Brennan, Bethesda
Frances Lucile Lamb, Silver Spring
Teri L. Marshall, Hagerstown
Barry S. Simon, Bethesda
Steven J. Zelman M.D., Rockville

Michigan
Robert J. Barnard Jr., Kalamazoo
Thomas E. Brennan, Lansing
The Honorable George Brody, Southfield
Charles B. Cumings, Flint
John W. Greiner, Bloomfield Hills
J. D. Hartwig, St. Joseph
Max D. McCullough, Mount Clemens
John R. Nicholson, Detroit
Harry J. O'Hara, Detroit
Theodore Sachs, Detroit
John E. S. Scott, Detroit
Robert J. Shary, Battle Creek
Robert J. Sullivan Jr., Detroit
Andrew Jackson Thanby, Flint
Anthony Urban II, East Detroit
Robert B. Webster, Birmingham

Minnesota
Eric J. Magnuson, Minneapolis
Steven N. Six, Northfield

Missouri
Lawrence G. Cranham, St. Louis
William C. Sullivan, St. Louis
Paul N. Wenke, St. Louis

North Carolina
Robert B. Broughton, Raleigh
Paul E. Carrington, Durham
Gregory Boyd Crampton, Raleigh
Patti Owen Harper, Durham
James G. Middendorf, Charlotte
John E. Mozart, Durham
William E. Womble Jr., Winston-Salem

Nebraska
John A. Majurek, Omaha

New Hampshire
William H. M. Beckett, Exeter
Peter F. Kears, Portsmouth

New Jersey
Emily Arrow Alman, Highland Park
Andrew T. Fede, Bogota
Jerry L. Leonard Logan, Linden
Jason Kott, East Orange
Allyn Z. Lute, Newark
Seton Hall University, Newark
Richard H. Steinberg, West Orange
Frank Terrazuela, Clifton
Thomas J. Wall, Edgewater

New York
John U. Anderson Jr., Dyer
Albert G. Marques, Las Vegas

New Jersey
Michael Bercley, Mamaroneck
Kenneth J. Burford, New York
Linda Cantori, New York
Robert Cardwell, New York
Arthur H. Christy, New York
Gerald E. Clark, Liverpool
Mary C. Daly, New York
Marc O. Deedam, New York
John C. Dillon, Champion
Scott DuPre, New York
Shelton Egan, New York
David K. Eppenstein, Scarsdale
Madeleine Eppenstein, Scarsdale
Rebecca K. Eppenstein, Scarsdale

New York
Theodore G. Eppenstein, New York
Daniel F. Gaven, New York
Saul Grand, Valley Stream
Alvin K. Hellstein, New York
Robert Kasonof, New York
Steven Ray Katzenstein, Long Island City
Edmund H. Kerr, New York
Joseph L. Miasak, White Plains
John S. Marsh, New York
Matthew M. Neumeier, Brooklyn Heights
Daniel Nobel, New York
Donald Oerst, Queens Village
Gloria R. Prisam, Dobbs Ferry
Douglas G. Roberts, Syoscut
Jerome G. Shapiro, New York
Martha C. Shoemaker, New York
Dean James F. Simon, New York
Michael Carl Tanner, Centerhead
John Nicholas Tsigagos, New York
Matthew L. Wiener, Armonk

Ohio
Stephen M. Bales, Cleveland
Larry E. Coey, Elyria
Stanisee C. Culbreath, Columbus
Charles B. Donahue Jr., Cleveland
Theodore M. Mann Jr., Cleveland
Samuel C. Randazzo, Columbus
Benjamin F. Tale, Waynesfield

Oklahoma
James B. Blevins Jr., Oklahoma City
John L. L., Oklahoma City
John D. Boydston, Tulsa
William D. Culley, Oklahoma City
H. Thomas Leonard, Punca City
Steve L. Lawton
Douglas C. McRae, Norman

Oregon
Erwin A. Harnack, Portland
I. Franklin Hunsalder, Portland

Pennsylvania
Joseph Burke III, Plymouth
Professor John Burhoff, Pittsburgh
Ann R. Goodwin, Cheswick
Peter K. Gall, Pittsburgh
Janet W. Mason, Essex, Bryne Mawr
The Honorable Richard L. Nyczar, North East
Ralph G. Wellington, Philadelphia

Puerto Rico
The Honorable Amneris Martinez de Cuevas, Guaynabo
Armando Llanes Ferrer, San Juan
Inesia Pernes, Esquio, San Juan

South Dakota
Michael Schaffer, Sioux Falls

(Continued on next page)
New Members (continued from page 11)

Tennessee
Kenneth H. King, Jr., Nashville
John B. Phillips Jr., Chattanooga

Texas
D.J. Baker, Houston
Todd Keller, Hurst
Lawrence Madekho, Houston
Stephen Dewey Powell, Dallas
Kay Lyn Schwartz, Brenham
Jacalyn D. Scott, Esquire, Houston
G. Wesley Urquhart, Houston
Jack E. Urquhart, Houston

Virginia
Frank O. Brown Jr., Richmond
Amy Marie Bruch, Harrisonburg
Ruth Johnson Butler, Alexandria
Timothy B. Casey, Harrisonburg
Marion T. Doss Jr., Harrisonburg
Ira J. Ehrlich, Alexandria
Timothy E. Flanigan, Fairfax
Natalie K. Fletcher, Lorton
George K. Gowans, Arlington
Rod Hunter, Suffolk
Deborah K. Husnick, Harrisonburg
Mrs. Duval Kieffer, McLean
Karen Koch, Harrisonburg
Michael S. Lieberman, Alexandria
Sonia Lee Loving, Harrisonburg
Christine McCormick, Harrisonburg
Virginia S. McHenry, McLean
Richard A. Merrill, Charlottesville
Lt. Col. & Mrs. William A. Moorman, Arlington
Glen R. Murphy, Fairfax
Melissa Lynn Otey, Forest
Carlyle C. Ring, Alexandria

Kelly Roberson, Harrisonburg
Mrs. Felicitas Smith, McLean
Lisa M. Tilitsky, Woodbridge

Washington
William Berggren Collins, Olympia
Franklin G. Dines, Seattle
Gary R. W. Slater, Federal Way

Wyoming
F.R. Badgett, Sheridan

INDIA
Kaushik M. Oza, Bombay

Answers to the Quiz (from page 9)

1. Answer: (a) Article III of the Constitution requires that there be a federal Supreme Court. It empowers Congress to establish inferior federal courts, but does not require that Congress do so. All State courts are established either by State constitutions or State laws. Article VI of the United States Constitution provides, however, that State judges shall be bound by treaties, "any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding."

2. Answer: (c) the 26th Amendment, ratified in 1971, provides that the right of citizens 18 years of age or older to vote shall not be denied by the United States or any State on account of age. The 25th Amendment, ratified in 1967, allows the Vice President to assume the role of Acting President under specified circumstances, and provides for succession in event of a vacancy of the office of the President or Vice President. The Equal Rights Amendment was passed by Congress and submitted to the legislatures of the States for ratification in 1972, but failed to be ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the States as required by Article V of the Constitution.

3. Answer: (a).